

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/525,941		02/28/2005	Ulrike Licht	266110US0XPCT	2567	
22850	7590	10/10/2006		EXAMINER		
C. IRVIN I			MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	·		
1940 DUKE	•	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXAND	RIA, VA	22314				
				DATEMAN ED 10/10/000		

21112111111222.10/10/2000

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/525,941	LICHT ET AL.				
(37 CFR 41.37)		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Patrick Niland	1714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence add	ress			
The Ap 41.37.	opeal Brief filed on <u>26 September 2006</u> is defecti	ve for failure to comply with on	e or more provisions	of 37 CFR			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notificat					
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	inder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the it	ems are not under th	e proper			
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🛚	The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	t of each ground of rejection pro	esented for review (3	7 CFR			
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	a separate heading for each gro	und of rejection on ap	peal (37 CFR			
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appen	dix thereto (37 CFR				
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferer $41.37(c)(1)(x)$.	ons rendered by a court or the nces section of the brief as an a	Board in the proceed appendix thereto (37	ing CFR			
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	the above items):					
	5. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal:	The brief should include the statu	es and references acco	rding to			

the rejections per the Examiners office action.

6. Arguments: This section should include separate headings for each grounds of rejection listed in section VI:Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal section but may include heading for claims argued separately. The brief should also include the statues and references with respect to the grounds of rejection presented.

> Lenetha L. Dyar Patent Appeal Center Specialist